```
JOSEPH T. MCNALLY
 1
    Acting United States Attorney
 2
    LINDSEY GREER DOTSON
    Assistant United States Attorney
 3
    Chief, Criminal Division
    CATHARINE A. RICHMOND (Cal. Bar No. 301184)
 4
    Assistant United States Attorney
    Violent & Organized Crime Section
 5
         1300 United States Courthouse
         312 North Spring Street
 6
         Los Angeles, California 90012
         Telephone: (213) 894-7162
 7
         Facsimile: (213) 894-0141
         Email:
                     catharine.richmond@usdoj.gov
 8
    Attorneys for Plaintiff
 9
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
10
                         UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                    FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11
12
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                        No. CR 25-40-HDV- 2,4
13
              Plaintiff,
                                        ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL DATE AND
                                        FINDINGS REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME
14
                                        PERIODS PURSUANT TO SPEEDY TRIAL
                   v.
                                        ACT
15
    ROHAN SANDEEP RANE, ET AL.,
                                        NEW TRIAL DATE: [10-07-25]
        COLLIN JOHN WALKER, and
16
        CLINT JORDAN BORGE,
                                        NEW PRETRIAL CONFERENCE:
17
              Defendants Defendant.
                                        [09-25-25]
18
19
20
         The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding
21
    Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of
22
    Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the
23
    parties in this matter on March 21, 2025. The Court hereby finds
```

that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into

this Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the

trial date in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of

excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

28

27

24

25

26

The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

- 1. The trial in this matter is continued from April 8, 2025 (defendant Walker) and April 29, 2024 (defendant Borge) to October 7, 2025 at 9:00 a.m. The pretrial conference hearing is continued to September 25, 2025 at 1:30 p.m.
- 2. The time period of April 8, 2025 (defendant Walker) and April 29, 2024 (defendant Borge) to October 7, 2025, inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i), and (B)(iv).

19 //

21 //

23 //

HONORABLE HERNÁN D. VERA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excluded from the period within which trial must commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of additional time periods from the period within which trial must commence. IT IS SO ORDERED. March 25, 2025 DATE Presented by: atharine Richmon CATHARINE A. RICHMOND Assistant United States Attorney